

**From:** Editor VolusiaExposed <editor@volusiaexposed.com>

**To:** [mchitwood@volusiasheriff.gov](mailto:mchitwood@volusiasheriff.gov)

**Cc:** [agant@volusiasheriff.gov](mailto:agant@volusiasheriff.gov), [bhenderson@volusiasheriff.gov](mailto:bhenderson@volusiasheriff.gov), [larizzar@sao7.org](mailto:larizzar@sao7.org)

**Subject:** Sheriff's lawsuit against event promoters is a farce

**Date:** Mon, 27 Apr 2026 13:03:07 -0400

**OPEN LETTER TO SHERIFF MICHAEL CHITWOOD**  
**Your Lawsuit Against Special Event Promoters Is A Farce**

Sheriff Chitwood  
Volusia County, Florida  
[mchitwood@volusiasheriff.gov](mailto:mchitwood@volusiasheriff.gov)

April 27, 2026

Ref: Lawsuit  
Chitwood v. Brooks & Plummer  
2026-11556-CICI

Observations & Questions

Sheriff Chitwood:

We (VolusiaExposed.Com) have reviewed your below linked lawsuit filing on Aliyah Brooks and Brittany Plummer.

<https://volusiaexposed.com/vcso/2026a/chitwoodlawsuit4212026/chitwoodlawsuit4212026.pdf>

We are doing a short article regarding this lawsuit – and have a few question that we hope you will address.

Yes, we received your April 14, 2026 email (see below linked copy) in which you stated that no one reads our website - and that our editor is an “insignificant weasel”.

<https://volusiaexposed.com/vcso/2026a/chitwoodapril142026email.pdf>

Regardless – our editor, and Volusia County taxpayer – has a few questions.

1. In paragraph 5 of your above complaint - you stated that **“Venue is proper in Volusia County, Florida, because the causes of action alleged herein occurred in Volusia County.”**

We (VolusiaExposed.Com) would point out paragraphs #14, 24, 28, 29, 30, 33, 54, 57, 59 of your complaint – whereas you appear to be arguing that the taxpayers and residents of Daytona Beach / Volusia County were victimized by Ms. Brooks & Ms. Plummer – either damaged financially or by reputation.

Do you really think it is fair – for a jury of Volusia County residents to be both the victims and the jurors in this action against Ms. Brooks and Ms. Plummer?

We have long appreciated that your sense of "fairness" usually falls short of the common definition of that term.

**Florida's Injustice System**  
**The "Railroaded" Prosecution Of A Child**

<https://volusiaexposed.com/stateattorney/2025/nicolejackson62025.html>

2. Your definition of the "Special Event Zone" (SEZ) seems to change from paragraphs #18-19 to paragraph #55 (bounded by A1A – A1A is NOT the beach proper / ocean).

Paragraphs 18-19 appear to incorporate the "beach" proper (ocean) into the SEZ – while Paragraph #55, along with your map exhibit appears to exclude the beach proper (ocean) from the SEZ.

If the beach proper was not actually part of the SEZ – is it fair to hold Ms. Brooks and Ms. Plummer financially responsible for the expenses (over-time, clean-up, etc) attached to the beach proper?

3. We noted that this lawsuit has been assigned to Circuit Judge Mary G. Jolley.

Does Judge Jolley's husband Tim still work as an I.T. specialist within the Volusia Sheriff's Office? Or has he retired?

If retired, do the Jolleys attend VSO events (Do they fraternize with VSO employees - funerals, marriages, Christmas and retirement parties, etc).

It was probably just consequential that Judge Jolley was assigned to this case. Maybe – Judge Kathleen McNeilly could take over the case – heard you and her have "history".

Then again, Judge McNeilly is not "open" to your "ways" – is she ?

**In The Defense Of Circuit Judge A. Kathleen McNeilly**  
**Sheriff Mike Chitwood's Long History Of Judicial Intimidation**

<https://volusiaexposed.com/vcso/2025/sheriffchitwood112025.html>

4. We found paragraph # 9 of your complaint VERY interesting – your definition of "social media" is "spot-on"!

# 9. "By its nature, social media can encourage outrageous behavior because it begets "views". Views are vital to the platforms and to creators who are rewarded for greater views. The quest for more "views" and the resulting monetization of views can lead irresponsible promoters to emphasize the most unruly and outrageous conduct possible to create "viral content".

There is no incentive in producing bland content."

Sheriff Chitwood – you do have your own personal and departmental (VSO) social media accounts – are you a responsible promoter of your social media accounts?

Have you ever falsely alleged that two middle school children fired a high powered military rifle at your deputies - in an attempt to murder several of them?

**Sheriff Chitwood's false video narrative against two kids.**

[https://youtu.be/1VMwsKcRRJI?si=1HFersNGZ\\_Y4zFiW](https://youtu.be/1VMwsKcRRJI?si=1HFersNGZ_Y4zFiW)

**VSO investigative report indicates no AK-47 gunfire (see lines 78-80)**

<https://volusiaexposed.com/vcso/2022/nicolejackson92022/chargingreport.pdf>

Sheriff Chitwood did you create this false narrative against these two kids – only to promote the most “unruly and outrageous conduct possible in order to create viral content” ?

And are you NOW – within this lawsuit creating a false narrative against Ms. Brooks and Ms. Plummer – and the beach events - that appear not to align with your official social media statements during these special events? (see below video).

<https://youtu.be/rAsHBA7i1I4?si=hePN6UN-FZwvKZ68>

**Sheriff Chitwood stating that everyone his deputies came into contact with during the Beach Take-Over event (March 2026) were absolutely polite.**

5. In paragraph #29 – you allege that the “Invasion generated national news which adversely impacted the reputation of Daytona Beach, Florida.”

Come on Sheriff – are you not that proverbial arsonist - that sets the fire, and then arrives on scene as a firefighter – seeking hero status?

In all honesty sheriff – it was your own social media accounts, together with your media interviews that inspired those national news reportings. Sheriff you are certainly referred to as “Sheriff Media Mike” for good reasons.

Furthermore sheriff - let's be honest – all that negative publicity that impacted the reputation of Daytona Beach – certainly assisted your standing with the television series – LivePD.

<https://livepd.org/departments/volusia-county-sheriffs-office/>

After-all sheriff – doesn't LivePD also seek that same “unruly and outrageous conduct” in order to create a viral content ?

No one tunes in to LivePD to watch “bland content”.

Maybe – you should think about giving up your movie star status – and realize that LivePD's coverage of Volusia – may be negatively affecting our local tourist economy.

6. There are some indications that you and the prosecutor's office are attempting to determine whether Ms. Brooks and Ms. Plummer can be criminally charged – apparently for inciting a riot?

Your social media statements as linked above – will certainly provide Ms. Brooks and Ms. Plummer with the needed exculpatory evidence - that everyone that your deputies came in contact with were absolutely polite.

It must have been a "quiet riot" - right sheriff?

Our above concerns in finding impartial civil jurors – will also certainly attached to finding impartial criminal jurors.

We look forward to you response. Please do so before end of business Thursday April 30, 2026 .

Regards,

VolusiaExposed.Com  
[editor@volusiaexposed.com](mailto:editor@volusiaexposed.com)

**“Eagles may soar, but weasels don’t get sucked into jet engines” ~ Annie Dillard**

cc: as indicated  
bcc - several