

From: Editor VolusiaExposed <editor@volusiaexposed.com>

To: Editor@volusiaexposed.com

Cc: agant@volusiasheriff.gov, lwilliams@volusiasheriff.gov, mchitwood@volusiasheriff.gov

Subject: Judicial Bias - State v. Rollins

Date: Wed, 19 Jul 2023 10:44:07 -0400

To All Concerned:

Shortly our publication (VolusiaExposed.Com) will be posting the July 17, 2023 DENIAL ORDER (see below link) authored by Judge Rowe in response to Defendant Iyanna Rollins' Motion To Suppress.
<http://volusiaexposed.com/vcso/2023/rollins72023/denialmotiontosuppress2.pdf>

This ORDER will be incorporated within our pending follow-up article to our July 14, 2023 posting (see below link).

Car 54 Where Are You - A Traffic Stop Gone Very Wrong !
<http://volusiaexposed.com/vcso/2023/iyannarollins72023.html>

We intend to question whether the syntax / text of Judge Rowe's ORDER memorializes a probable judicial bias - which negated a "fair and impartial" judicial review of the facts attached to Ms. Rollins' arrest - her on-going prosecution - and finally her Motion to Suppress.

Examples of this perceived "judicial bias" are as follows:

1. While Judge Rowe documents Rollins' usage of profanity - he does not mention Sgt. Weaver's first usage of profanity. (judicial cleansing of inconvenient facts in favor of the police / State)
2. Rowe's statement that it is "undisputed" that Weaver "inadvertently" turn onto Academy Avenue - rather than Ainsworth Avenue. (Not sure the record fully supports that)
3. Rowe's claim that Rollins "began to roll up her car window" while allegedly refusing to turn off the car. From our review of the video - the car window does not move after Rollins initially rolled it down. Also - most drivers that have electric car windows - usually roll them up prior to turning the car off. Further - it is of investigative significance - that Sgt. Weaver never issued a verbal command not to roll up the window - prior to him entering the car.

We would argue that Sgt. Weaver's entrance into the car was predicated

on his apparent anger - that Rollins's answered his question - "What are you doing here?" - with the response "Does that matter?".

While Judge Rowe states that Rollins became "quite irate and out of control" - we would argue that Sgt. Weaver first became "irate and out of control" when Rollins opted to answer Sgt. Weaver's question with a question.

(ignoring the facts and evidence - in order to accept a false narrative by the police)

4. During the July 10, 2023 Suppression Hearing - Judge Rowe questioned Sgt. Weaver on whether he had "touched" Ms. Rollins - and if so - in what manner? While Judge Rowe's ORDER does document Ms. Rollins' accusation that she had been "touched" - Judge Rowe's ORDER never memorializes his own question / inquiry - was Ms. Rollins touched - AND in what manner. (such - in our opinion is yet another example of the cleansing of inconvenient facts in favor of the police/ State)

In addition to our review of Judge Rowe's ORDER - we shall also address the difference in the State's position regarding Ms. Rollins' alleged simple battery of two sheriff deputies - and the 2020 arrest of a defendant (Kary Jarvis) - who was subjected to an illegal search of his vehicle by two Daytona Beach police officer - as he attempted to leave the scene while dragging these police officers with his car for approximately 30 yards. (see below linked article - providing supportive documentation and video).

<http://volusiaexposed.com/dbpd/2023/karyjarvis32023.html>

The State (same State Attorney connected to the Rollins prosecution) dropped all charges against Mr. Jarvis (white male) - including the AGGRAVATED battery of two LEOs.

We invite all recipients of this email to provide us with any clarity they have on these above concerns.

We anticipate publishing our article within the next 24 hours.

Regards,

VolusiaExposed.Com
editor@volusiaexposed.com

cc - as indicated

bcc - several