

OPEN LETTER

Mr. Phil Archer – State Attorney – 18th Circuit – State Of Florida
Sheriff Wayne Ivey – Sheriff, Brevard County, Florida
Lynne Hooper – State Attorney Office
bcc – several

Mr. Phil Archer / Lynne Hooper / Sheriff Wayne Ivey:

VolusiaExposed.Com is preparing our follow up article regarding the recent arrest of Brevard Deputy Barre Taylor.

<http://www.wesh.com/news/brevard-county-deputy-fired-accused-of-having-sex-with-inmate/37927212>

As you will remember – Deputy Taylor was arrested under the criminal charge of “sexual misconduct” (F.S. 951.221) – a third degree felony – for engaging in TWO (2) sexual encounters with a female inmate within the Brevard County jail.

Deputy Taylor is related to one of your assistant state attorneys – therefore your office appropriately requested that the Florida Governor reassign the criminal review, and possible prosecution of Deputy Taylor to another circuit's SAO.

It's our understanding that the 7th Circuit SAO will be taking over the Taylor matter. Therefore, we have carbon copied this email to the PIO of the 7th Circuit's SAO.

Our pending article will compare the criminal charge filed on Deputy Taylor, with the charge filed in 2008 on Polk County Detention Deputy Donald Kurns Jr. – for a very similar sexual encounter with a female inmate. Deputy Kurns was arrested and charged with felony first degree sexual battery (F.S. 794.011).

<http://www.tbo.com/news/news/2008/may/02/polk-detention-deputy-accused-sex-inmate-ar-151193/>

VolusiaExposed agrees with the position of the Polk County Sheriff Department – as stated in the above listed article - “regardless of whether the sex was consensual, the charge would be sexual battery because detention deputies have control over inmates, the sheriff's office release states”.

* We, here at VolusiaExposed wonders why Deputy Taylor was not arrested under the same charge of sexual battery (2 counts) – as was the apparent appropriate charge for Polk County Deputy Kurns? Instead of the ONE (1) count of Sexual Misconduct – charged by his employers – the Brevard County Sheriff Department.

Further, our article will focus on the allegation, that prior to your agency's (SAO) full review of Deputy Taylor's arrest report - due to the requested gubernatorial reassignment, and as indicated by emails received by your agency – you agency (SAO) released the name of the female inmate – an apparent victim of sexual battery.

Our article will focus on whether this release of the victim's name was done with malicious intent, in order to forward a scheme to sabotage any attempts by the newly assigned state attorney's office to increase the criminal charge against Deputy Taylor from sexual misconduct to sexual battery.

We submit that it is common knowledge that a sexual assault victim is more likely to seek, and cooperate with a criminal prosecution – if their personal information, such as their name, remains confidential.

* Our article will also touch on F.S. 794.024 – the willful disclosure of a sexual assault victim's personal information – by a public employee of officer. Does your agency anticipate taking any action against any employee (either criminally or administratively) for the apparent improper release of protected information regarding a sexual assault victim. Or does your agency not consider the female inmate, a victim of sexual battery?

We shall explore our suspicions that your offices (SAO & BCSO) are attempting to “manage” the criminal prosecution of Deputy Taylor – due to both Taylor's law enforcement status, and his family connections to your office (SAO).

We anticipate that our pending article, will question your agencies' commitment to victims of sexual assaults. We shall use recent examples of alleged sexual assaults within your agencies' jurisdictions – in justification of our opinion, that your agencies have very little, to no regard, for certain victims of sexual assaults. Our article will question a thesis – that if - the SAO and BCSO are willing to manipulate alleged sexual assaults (note - plural) within their own jail – in order to protect a “connected” deputy – will these same agencies allow other sexual assaults (to include those involving minors) to be manipulated, in order to protect other higher ranking members of the local criminal justice community (SA, Sheriff, Judges, DCF, etc.).

As you may be aware – we suspect the above thesis – regarding the criminal prosecution of Mrs. Dana Delaney Loyd, as outlined in our most recent article.

<http://volusiaexposed.com/highprofile/defenseofdanadelaney5.html>

We anticipate highlighting the following cases within our pending article – the criminal prosecution of Dana Delaney Loyd, the Deputy Taylor arrest, the arrest and prosecution, and eventual plea bargain of Deputy Ryan Pill.

Your offices should anticipate that we (VolusiaExposed.Com) shall soon be developing a new section to our website – with a focus on exposing corruption within Brevard County. Our decision is based on the belief, that it has now become necessary for us to make a long term commitment to covering and exposing the obvious corruption attached to the Loyd prosecution. In addition – we are of the opinion that citizens of Brevard County are in need of a Brady Bunch list – similar to the one we have developed for Volusia County.

<http://volusiaexposed.com/stateattorney/bradybunch.html>

Lastly, and most importantly - you can continue to depend on us (VolusiaExposed) to focus our future articles on the “importance of truthfulness in every detail”. And with that thought in mind, and in compliance with our understanding of F.S. 794.03 – we shall be redacting the name of Deputy Taylor's victim.

Should you care to address this letter – we would request that you focus your attentions to the paragraphs with * attached. Your response will be considered for incorporation into our pending article.

Regards;
VX
VolusiaExposed.Com



"Man is least himself when he talks in his own person. Give him a mask, and he will tell you the truth". ~ Oscar Wilde
(see attached statutory references)

=====

794.024 Unlawful to disclose identifying information.—

(1) A public employee or officer who has access to the photograph, name, or address of a person who is alleged to be the victim of an offense described in this chapter, chapter 800, s. [827.03](#), s. [827.04](#), or s. [827.071](#) may not willfully and knowingly disclose it to a person who is not assisting in the investigation or prosecution of the alleged offense or to any person other than the defendant, the defendant's attorney, a person specified in an order entered by the court having jurisdiction of the alleged offense, or organizations authorized to receive such information made exempt by s. [119.071](#)(2)(h), or to a rape crisis center or sexual assault counselor, as defined in s. [90.5035](#)(1)(b), who will be offering services to the victim.

(2) A violation of subsection (1) constitutes a misdemeanor of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. [775.082](#) or s. [775.083](#).

794.03 Unlawful to publish or broadcast information identifying sexual offense victim.—No person shall print, publish, or broadcast, or cause or allow to be printed, published, or broadcast, in any instrument of mass communication the name, address, or other identifying fact or information of the victim of any sexual offense within this chapter, except as provided in s. [119.071](#)(2)(h) or unless the court determines that such information is no longer confidential and exempt pursuant to s. [92.56](#). An offense under this section shall constitute a misdemeanor of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. [775.082](#) or s. [775.083](#).