

From: Dufford, Wendy
Sent: Friday, May 24, 2013 3:56 PM
To: 'randell.alexander@jax.ufl.edu'
Subject: Alleged Interstate Child Sexual Abuse

Good afternoon, Mr. Alexander. I wanted to thank you for taking the time to speak with me on Monday and referring me to Chuck Biehl.

Chuck has been very responsive and has kept me informed. However, I received a call from him today and it did concern me. I completely understand that this is NOT my expertise and it IS yours and Chucks, so I find myself in a difficult situation. I have the mother telling me about all of the potential corruption, friends helping friends and the "Good ol' boys club" going on down in Florida, so being that my background is Public Corruption, I do find myself being a bit skeptical albeit paranoid when I read all of the reports indicating abuse, seeing pictures of penises ejaculating on a little girl and then having two psychologist stating that the allegations are not true, but when I look into those two psychologists, I find that their licenses are under review and there are numerous allegations of unethical misconduct regarding dealing with children being molested, not believed and put back into homes with the perpetrators. You told me that you wanted to hear if you felt that any of your investigators were biased or showed any favoritism in any way. Hopefully you can put my mind at ease.

I have spoke to Chuck several times this week regarding the case with minor child [REDACTED] Michaud. I emailed him numerous investigative, medical and court reports regarding the case for him to review. Today, Chuck called me and told me that he saw the reports regarding psychologist Jeffrey M. Williamson and had spoken to him. Chuck said that he had known Williamson for over 20 years and trusts him implicitly. Chuck stated that Williamson does not believe [REDACTED] is being hurt by her father and if he believed even remotely there was a chance, he would report it. Chuck went into details on all of the areas of this case that he felt were compromised — Judge Maxwell, his obvious and continued bias in the case, the shoddy attorney representation, the friendship between the father and Brevard County Sheriff's Officers who have taken reports and stopped further investigation into these allegations and how several things in this case should not have happened the way they have. Chuck stated that "If it wasn't for Williamson he may want to look into it."

I did some research into Williamson and found some reviews on him on-line and found some complaints on him regarding him being involved in child abuse cases, not believing the children and putting them back into the homes where they are being hurt. I have attached the link for the reviews here:

<http://www.ratemds.com/doctor-ratings/2663145/Dr-Jeffrey+M.-WILLIAMSON-ROCKLEDGE-FL.html#Ratings>

It just seems too coincidental to me and then to find out that Williamson's license is under review by the Department of Health for not reporting child abuse, medical billing fraud or conduct thorough interviews of teachers, therapists, law enforcement, etc regarding domestic violence and did not report any suspected abuse to Department of Children and Families as mandated by law (Case No. 2008-19261). Just this information alone seems enough to me for CPT to not consider Williamson's "feelings" in [REDACTED] Michaud's allegations. FYI — I sent this information to Chuck as well but it was disregarded. I wasn't sure why until I conducted more searches on Williamson and found that ironically, he is assigned as a provider under Chuck for the CPT. I completely understanding trusting those you work with; however, when you have a wealth of information opposing that one person's argument, coupled with the complaints on-line with the Department of Health request for license review, how can his feelings be held higher than others. They should not have been included at all in my opinion. Again, I understand that I am not an expert in child abuse investigations, but being involved in corruption investigations, this does look suspicious and like very biased behavior. To be honest, until the allegations against Williamson have been decided, it doesn't look prudent to have him as a member of the CPT offering expert opinions on areas that he is being judged against being in violation of, but that is my opinion.

I am forwarding you all of the reports that I sent Chuck in hopes that you will take an impartial look at the information and notes that I have made. I do believe that this young girl deserves to be given the benefit of the doubt and we as mandatory reporters need to err on the side of the child in any case. I hope that the abuse is not happening. But if the sexual abuse is not happening, there is definitely abuse happening as the mother is purposely being kept away from her daughter for no reason as you can see in the order. That alone is cause for concern.

I appreciate any assistance you can provide. It is not my intention to diminish the work that Chuck does for CPT at all. He seems like a great guy and was very responsive to my requests, I just want to be sure that this child's best interests are being held in the fore front here. Thanks again, Mr. Alexander. Feel free to contact me at 843-261-4677 (cell) or 843-654-5492 (desk) at any time.

Wendy Dufford
Intelligence Analyst
FBI, Charleston, SC